IOSR Journal of Computer Engineering (IOSR-JCE)
e-ISSN: 2278-0661,p-ISSN: 2278-8727
PP 01-05
www.iosrjournals.org

A Systematic Study on Proposed Routing Methods / Models in Soft Computing for Wireless Sensor Networks

Mr.S.Nithyanandh[1], Dr.V.Jaiganesh[2]
[1](Ph.D Research Scholar, PG & Research Dept. of Computer Science, Dr.NGP Arts & Science College, Coimbatore, TamilNadu, India)
[2](Associate Professor, PG & Research Dept. of Computer Science, Dr.NGP Arts & Science College, Coimbatore, TamilNadu, India)

Abstract: *Wireless device Networks (WSNs) are outlined as dynamic, self-deployed, extremely unnatural structured network. It`s high process atmosphere with restricted and controlled transmission vary, processing, as well as restricted energy sources. The sever power constraints powerfully have an effect on the existence of active nodes and thus the network life. so as to prolong the network life time we've to beat the inadequacy in energy resources and preserve the process of the device nodes as long as potential. Power management approaches expeditiously scale back the device nodes energy consumption severally in every sensor node and therefore the accommodative economical routing technique has greatly appeals an excellent attention in analysis. The potential paradigms of soft-computing (SC) extremely self-addressed their ability and compatibility to overwhelm the advanced challenges in WSNs. This paper is introducing and measurement a number of the Soft Computing planned routing models for WSNs that optimally prolongs its life time.*

Keywords: *WSN – Wireless Sensor Networks, SC – Soft Computing, Network, Routing*

I. Introduction

WSN may be a system of distributed autonomous devices known as sensors or nodes that are hand in glove sensing, computing and wirelessly human action with one another. Nodes in WSN are severely constrained in their power, memory and computations. The battery high-powered nature of sensors vulnerable the device life time and thus the WSN life time. Size of the network will be flexibly change by adding or removing nodes and this may erratically modification the topological construction of the network. the most challenges in WSN are battery capability, information measure and computing power. so as to increase network life time we'd like to preserve the quantity of power and to conserve the network energy. Hence, routing and clump algorithms applied to provide long-range and large-scale WSNs communications. Routing in WSN is dissent conventional routing in mounted networks. choosing the shortest path between supply and sink isn't always mean best routing in WSNs. The scarce power in sensors challenges the routing protocol in WSNs. so an influence awareness based mostly routing algorithms ought to be introduced to preserve WSN power and thus extend the network life time. attributable to WSNs constrains and limitations, style of routing protocols for WSNs is difficult. Classical WSN routing protocols are classified to three main categories: flat-based routing, hierarchical-based routing and location-based routing looking on the network structure. To overcome WSN challenges, the intelligence and suppleness of sentimental computing paradigms in processing the paradox and uncertainty of the information in advanced atmosphere has attract researches attentions to the thought of using embedded soft computing strategies in WSN when deployment. The characteristics of sentimental computing show nice analogy and compatibility in wireless device networks particularly in power management approaches, self-decision creating techniques; knowledge-based routing and nodes process. In this paper we tend to survey the planned routing protocols in WSNs supported Soft Computing paradigms. foremost we'll define the characteristics, style objectives and challenges in WSNs. This will second followed by a comprehensive survey of the various classes of WSNs routing protocols. We'll third introduce, analyze and type the usability of various Soft Computing paradigms in routing WSNs. The conclusion of the paper provides a decent insight to future analysis areas in routing WSN supported Soft Computing paradigms.

II. Nature Of Data In WSNs

According to the types of applications in wireless sensor network the data is sensed by sensors and it have particular characteristic and reviewed categorized are as follow:

Streaming: Sensors sense the data continuously. For large network, the total data will be too much to store, thus, processing these data needs to be online and in-network, and all the data should be properly pre-compute and datas are store in a suitable format for the future query.

Interrelationship: The correlation exists between the sensors observations. As WSN have need of an exclusively dense deployment of sensors to complete the satisfactory coverage because of the limitation on communication range. Therefore, in the environment application a single event can be observe by the multiple sensors and the data are interrelated. ***Unreliability:*** The instability of nodes and links in WSN drives to unreliable data. This type of data that occurs when the data are not delivered at the reliable rate, as effect of sensors may damage data be incorrect, data loss in loosy link, or inaccurate data due to the environmental interface. To avoid indefinitely, data techniques have been developed to achieve certain data and to avoid unreliability.

Heterogeneously: Many different sensors will be deployed to collect the data from various sources. In this way data processing should have different data formats. Individual sensing devices are evolving to be increasingly complex and, at the same time, more reliable. In addition, it is economical to influence as many applications onto existing motes. Sensor networks will progressively go from simple homogeneous to complicated heterogeneous deployment with many different sensing tasks. Keeping these considerations in mind, the proposed techniques and solutions are designed to be applicable for both homogeneous and heterogeneous networks that might serve for tasks not limited to ordinary data reading but also to include complex data processing.

III. WSN Characteristics, Style Objectives, And Challenges

Characteristics As wireless device network (WSN) is recently thought-about in concert of the foremost necessary telecommunication technologies that proves its compatibility and responsibility in several applications disciplines.

WSNs unambiguously have the subsequent distinctive characteristics:

Dense self-deployment: WSN may be a vast distributed process system. Sizable amount of sensors are scattered and densely at random deployed within the network atmosphere. Sensors are designed autonomously as every device severally manages its self communication in the network.

Restricted process and storage: device nodes are tiny battery high-powered autonomous physical devices that extremely restricted in, process capabilities and storage capability. Restricted energy resources: Thanks to the robust nature of WSN applications atmosphere and the fact that device nodes are battery high-powered devices, it's typically exhausting to vary or recharge theses batteries.

Device Heterogeneity: Since device nodes existence isn't warranted within the WSN life time, unreliable and inconsistent device nodes can prone thanks to physical damages or failures whereas harsh readying. Knowledge Redundancy: knowledge will be sent otherwise by over one node to central node due to the necessity of collaboration and communication of device nodes yet because the physical nature of the device nodes. Application centric: because it is often exhausting to vary or modify within the wireless device network, the network is sometimes designed and deployed for a particular application. This mainly affects the look needs, network size, energy consumption and routing constrains of network. Broadcast communication: Sensors in WSN typically rely upon exchanging perceived knowledge between multiple device nodes and specific sink node mistreatment completely different flooding routing techniques. Topological inconstancy: thanks to power inadequacy in device nodes yet because the harsh environment, topology can typically suffer frequent changes like association failures, node death, adding new node, energy consumption or channel weakening.

Restricted Transmission Range: The restricted physical characteristic of device nodes are usually restricted strictly the network capabilities and have an effect on the coverage vary and communication quality.

Style objectives: The design of WSN usually depends on the applied space of application as every application has its completely different needs, but some general style objectives ought to be taken in consideration whereas deploying WSN. The subsequent are the foremost common style objectives: • Network size, cost, resources: WSN size principally depends on the dimensions and coverage of geographical area of the deployed network for a particulare application. the quantity of sensor nodes varies to thousands and even additional. Size of WSN principally affects the desired nodes variety, cost, routing techniques and association technology. This additionally can directly affect the network quantifiability and feasibleness.

Network topology: one in all the most aspects within the WSN style that affects network capacity, complexity, delay and routing. The dimensions of the network and therefore the space of interest determine the topology. WSN topology may be a dynamic topology which will be simple with single or few numbers in direct communication hops between the nodes or complex with multi-hop advanced topological design.

Power consumption: The physical nature of the device nodes unnatural it with terribly limited energy resources. Sensors principally rely upon batteries as power suppliers. According to the cruel atmosphere for WSN, it’s nearly exhausting or perhaps not possible to change or replace these batteries. The general network life time may be a accumulative of its sensors life. Therefore, protective network life urges researches to target the development of associate economical power management approaches and routing protocols that manage and

management the consumption of sensors` energy. Coverage range: so as to preserve the network consumed energy and to extend its productivity and responsibility, network coverage vary ought to be by selection determined. Small transmission vary between nodes can decrease the quantity of required power for transmission between directly connected nodes. the large coverage areas typically cased associate eavesdropping.

Quality of service: the realm of WSN application restrains the provided quality of service in WSN. For real time applications, perceived knowledge ought to be delivered as presently because it is sensed. The frequent changes within the perceived knowledge are extremely established with the time issue. Reliability and usefulness typically rely upon QoS. Simplicity: The heterogeneous and autonomous nature of sensors in WSN yet because the complex topological nature needs easy and convenient communication, processing and power consumption models so as to ease and increase the economical utilization of the network.

Mobility: The quality nature in WSN when readying typically results thanks to the automotive capabilities of device nodes. every device has the pliability to vary its location supported some environmental factors that powerfully have an effect on nodes movements are varies supported the appliance space. quality might apply to all or any nodes inside a network or only to subsets of nodes. so supported the quality taxonomy, sensors in WSN expand to completely different standing. they'll act as an energetic or passive nodes, this can be self-addressed by every sensor`s automotive capabilities, that the device might rely in motion device or move by itself. The motion yet could also be occasional movement with time intervals of immobility in between, to constant as in static nodes. though the high degree of mobility in WSN, some sensors might stay static. The extent of dynamic in WSN as well because the speed of quality oft influences the dimensions, style and protocols of the network. • Fault tolerance: the flexibility to preserve the network performance and practicality even after individual node failure or congestion in a number of elements of the network. The adaptability of WSN will be achieved by mistreatment economical routing protocols, power management approaches and communication institutions.

Challenges Deployment of WSN face variety of nice challenges that urge researches to target it so as to reach associate best performance of WSN, below are some general challenges that are faced in numerous WSNs applications. Hardware constraint: Since WSNs rely upon battery based mostly power devices; power supplier is that the most significant half within the device nodes. The less energy consumption devices in WSN are the foremost economical and lasting WSN. The characteristic of device nodes; like the process capabilities and storage capacity; also will have an effect on the performance and life time of WSN as they'll increase the energy consumption and knowledge redundancy. The size, processing, value and therefore the quantity of the sensors within the applied environment ought to be taken in thought whereas we tend to develop WSNs.

Power consumption: The limitation of power resources in WSNs contrariwise the high energy consumption direct the researchers attentions to power conservation and power management approaches that may effectively prolong the WSN life. • Deployment: A WSN is associate infrastructure less at random deployed network encompass small autonomously distributed sensors. The network readying will be densely by a huge variety of sensors in applied space of application or distributed network with many and limited variety of sensors. Communication in WSNs is achieved by single or multi number of hops between sensors. The importance of application yet because the value of deployment controls the category of WSN readying.

Scalability: WSNs ought to be ready to support form of routing protocols, huge nodes number and wide space of application yet because the frequent will increase of network expansion. the size of performance and employment of WSN shouldn't be anticipated during the initial network style stage. Flexibility: Thanks to the wide numerous of WSN application, yet because the network constraints and inadequacy of resources, some kind of flexibility are required like completely different network readying schemes and topologies, routing protocols, power management methods and then on. • Reliability: A WSN ought to be ready to adapt and manage the corruption of the network in case of node failure. The practicality and performance to WSNs shouldn't be affected negatively. Some fault tolerance techniques guarantee responsibility in WSNs.

Connectivity: Maintain property among all device nodes through the network life time may be a terribly difficult issue .The importance of every device node yet because the importance of perceived knowledge and routing route that every device might take urges the network to preserve the lifetime of every node. Some sleep modes will be practiced by some nodes in order to cut back the speed of harvested energy. Lifetime: The longevity and coverage of the WSN ought to be warranted. The main emphasis is to prolong the network life. device nodes are finite life time devices as they are battery high-powered. Some adapting mechanisms like power management techniques and accommodative routing protocols are wont to overcome the restricted resources efficiently and to confirm the utmost network life.

IV. WSN Routing Protocols

Due to the secrecy of energy resources in WSN, prolonging the network life time is taken into account as a challenge drawback. Though network life time is suffering from the restrictions of the battery powered devices, the length of traveling path yet as reconciliation the load on a particular path and the responsibility of this path also will greatly have an effect on the life time of WSN. Knowledge in WSN travels through its route from the supply node to a different neighbor chosen successor node. It repeatedly does this movement supported specific choice strategies till reaching the sink node. Routing in WSN will be classified either looking on network structure or protocol operation. Below are a few explanations for the routing protocols

Negotiation-based routing: This routing protocol is predicated on exchanging variety of negotiation messages between interconnected nodes. The advantage is that it works to reduce knowledge redundancy and stop data duplicate [13]. samples of negotiation based routing are device Protocols for data via Negotiation (SPIN) that uses negotiations to handle all issues of flooding because it uses meta-data to compactly and completely describe device knowledge. Sequent assignment routing (SAR) that makes multiple trees, every stock-still at a 1-hop neighbor of the sink, to determine multiple ways from every node to the sink. Directed Diffusion (DD) uses flooding based mostly question mechanism for continuous and mixture queries.

Multipath-based routing: This routing protocol is predicated on finding alternative potential higher paths between sources and sinks to extend routing potency and scale back power consumption in WSN. The advantage of this protocol lies on reserving the extent of consumed power and so programming language the network life time [14, 15]. It additionally helps with fault tolerance and fast recovery from broken routes. The network performance can efficiently increase by reducing the transmission delay yet because the network responsibility will be warranted thanks to overheads. but the disadvantage of it's the good quantity of overheads and energy consumption as a results of causing periodic messages to stay the network ways alive. Establishing and maintaining all trees is pricey. samples of this protocol are Multi path and Multi SPEED (MMSPEED) that gives QoS differentiation in terms of timeliness and responsibility, whereas additionally minimizing protocol overhead. device Protocols for data via Negotiation (SPIN) that uses negotiations to address all issues of flooding because it uses meta-data to compactly and fully describe device knowledge.

Query-based routing: This routing protocol is predicated on a series of propagated queries between the sources and sink node to sense the traveling ways. The destination node sends question of interest from a node through network and node with this interest matches the question and challenge to the node that initiated the question. The question commonly uses high level languages. The economical route discovered and made by the updated information associated with every route within the network nodes. The advantage of this routing protocol is that it eliminates redundancy and reduces variety of transmissions across the network. The disadvantage of query-based approach is that it's going to not be best solutions for networks with want for continuous knowledge transfers like environmental observance. Examples of Query-based routing are device Protocols for data via Negotiation (SPIN) that uses negotiations to handle all issues of flooding because it uses meta-data to succinctly and fully describe device knowledge and Directed Diffusion (DD) uses flooding based mostly question mechanism for continuous and mixture queries. ***QoS-based routing*****:** This routing protocol is predicated on reconciliation between all the network constraints to satisfy all the QoS metrics like energy consumption, knowledge quality, delay, priority level and information measure and then on . Disadvantages of this protocol principally lie on the delay to satisfy the QoS metrics. This may consume the network energy massively. As well that it's pricy to establishing and maintaining all trees on the network. Examples of QoS-based routing are sequent assignment routing (SAR) that makes multiple trees, every stock-still at a 1-hop neighbor of the sink, to determine multiple ways from every node to the sink. this may minimize the common weighted QoS metric over the lifetime of the network. Multi path and Multi SPEED (MMSPEED) that gives QoS differentiation in terms of timeliness and responsibility, whereas additionally minimizing protocol overhead.

Coherent-based routing*:* This routing protocol is predicated on the 2 processing techniques in WSN, coherent and non- coherent. Energy economical route are chosen based on the quantity of process. Coherent routing forwards knowledge when minimum processing to eventually scale back the consumed energy. whereas non-coherent routing send data when perform native process in every node . The advantage of coherent knowledge processing routing is that the energy potency thanks to the preprocessing on knowledge and knowledge aggregation. Whereas the non coherent process involves target detection, as data collection and preprocessing of its knowledge takes place. Then neighbor's cooperation wherever neighboring nodes should beare in mind of the native topology. Finally choose central node to refine science. Disadvantage is that central node should have enough energy resources and computation skills.

V. Soft Computing Paradigms Forwsn Routing

The economical utilization of energy in WSN may be a bottleneck drawback that affects the performance and therefore the life time of network. Energy consumption attentiveness and power management

approaches are recently self addressed by researches to tackle this problem. Best routing technique and energy improvement usage are considerably affecting the WSNs performance and guarantee the extension of the network life time. Due to WSNs constraints and particularly the sensors` energy inadequacy, a wise routing should be done to balance the energy consumption among nodes, so prolonging the network life and insuring network coverage. Deploying good and intellectual techniques enhances the effectiveness of wireless device network [20].Different soft computing paradigms are studied and examined by researches to optimize WSN routing with the thought of the ability consumption, network challenges and style and readying aspects. The soft computing paradigms like Reinforcement Learning (RL), Swarm Intelligence (SI), organic process Algorithms (EA), formal logic (FL), and Neural Networks (NN) and Artificial system (AIS) are applied to completely different WSN applications and readying supported their completely different characteristics. The section below in this survey paper summarizes the recent implementations of sentimental computing paradigms in routing in WSN with its driving and heterogeneous characteristic.

Different surveyed soft computing paradigms supported their potency; suitableness and usefulness in WSN are listed below. The presentation of the mentioned soft computing paradigms below are sorted and arranged supported their precedence usability and potency in WSN routing. This paper can function a guide for mistreatment SC paradigms for WSNs.

VI. Conclusions And Future Work

Routing and clump in wireless device networks are the foremost challenges of WSN. This paper presents a comprehensive survey of the foremost updates space of routing in WSNs supported soft computing paradigms. The innovative use of sentimental computing paradigms in WSNs energy conservation strategies proves a decent compatibility with some variations through the planned SC paradigm.

References

[1]. I F Akyildiz, W Su, Y Sankarasubramaniam and E Cayirci (2002) “Wireless sensor networks: a survey”, Computer Networks Vol. 38, No. 4, pp. 393 – 422.

[2]. Averkin, A. N., Belenki, A. G., & Zubkov, G. (2007) “Soft Computing in Wireless Sensors Networks”, In Conference of European Society for Fuzzy Logic and Technology (EUSFLAT), No.1, pp. 387-390.

[3]. Zheng, J., & Jamalipour, A. (2009)”Wireless sensor networks: a networking perspective”, published by A John & Sons, Inc., Wiley. com

[4]. Romer, K., & Mattern, F, (2004)”The design space of wireless sensor networks”, Wireless Communications, IEEE, Vol. 11, No. 6, pp. 54–61.

[5]. Yoneki, E., & Bacon, J. (2005)”A survey of Wireless Sensor Network technologies: research trends and middleware’s role”, University of Cambridge TR, 646.

[6]. Seah, W. K., Eu, Z. A., & Tan, H. P. (2009)”Wireless sensor networks powered by ambient energy harvesting (WSNHEAP)-Survey and challenges”, Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Wireless Communications, Vehicular Technology, Information Theory and Aerospace & Electronic Systems Technology (Wireless VITAE), pp.1- 5.

[7]. Kulkarni, R. V., Forster, A., & Venayagamoorthy, G. K. (2011)”Computational intelligence in wireless sensor networks: A survey”, Communications Surveys & Tutorials, IEEE, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp. 68 – 96.

[8]. A.Alkhatib and G.Baicher, (2012)”An Overview of Wireless Sensor Networks”, 2012 International Conference on Computer Networks and Communication Systems (CNCS 2012) IPCSIT, Vol. 35.

[9]. Park, J., & Sahni, S. (2005)”Maximum Lifetime Routing In Wireless Sensor Networks” Computer & Information Science & Engineering, University of Florida.

[10]. Datta, N. N., & Gopinath, K. (2006)”A survey of routing algorithms for wireless sensor networks”, Journal of the Indian Institute of Science, Vol. 86, pp. 569-598.

[11]. Singh, S. K., Singh, M. P., & Singh, D. K. (2010)”A survey of Energy-Efficient Hierarchical Clusterbased Routing in Wireless Sensor Networks”, International Journal of Advanced Networking and Application (IJANA), Vol. 02, No. 02, pp. 570–580.

[12]. Al-Karaki, Jamal N., and Ahmed E. Kamal (2004)”Routing techniques in wireless sensor networks: a survey”, IEEE Wireless Communications, Vol.11, No. 6, pp. 6–28. [13].Kulik, J., Heinzelman, W., & Balakrishnan, H. (2002)”Negotiation-based protocols for disseminating information in wireless sensor networks”, Wireless Networks, Vol. 8, pp. 169-185.